

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application of the subject application are respectfully requested. By this Amendment, Applicant has added new claim 41. Therefore, upon entry of the Amendment, claims 1-3, 5-16, 18-29, and 31-41 are all the claims pending in the application. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims define patentable subject matter.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, 18-29 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Hedin et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,185,535, hereinafter “Hedin”) in view of King (U.S. Patent No. 6,532,446, hereinafter “King”) and D’hoore et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,085,160, hereinafter “D’Hoore”).

Independent claim 1 recites:

A method of data entry at a device, comprising:

receiving voice data at the device;

transmitting the voice data and a device identifier to a computer; and
at the computer,

translating the voice data to text;

determining whether to filter the translated text; and

if it is determined that the translated text is to be filtered, applying
a filter to the translated text;

wherein the voice data is translated to text using a voice print, and the
translated text is returned to the device,

wherein the voice print is retrieved from a datastore based on the device
identifier, and

wherein the applying of the filter comprises extracting keywords from the
translated text, selecting the filter based on the extracted keywords, and applying

the selected filter to transform the translated text to a format specific to at least one of a particular application or the device.

Applicant respectfully submits that there is no teaching or suggestion in the cited references that “the applying of the filter comprises extracting keywords from the translated text, selecting the filter based on the extracted keywords, and applying the selected filter to transform the translated text to a format specific to at least one of a particular application or the device”, as recited in independent claim 1 and analogously recited in independent claims 14 and 27 and new claim 41. Support for this feature can be found at least in pages 6-7 of the specification as filed.

According to an exemplary embodiment of the application, a voice recognition server receives a speech packet and uses a voice print to translate the voice data in the speech packet to text, resulting in translated text. A transformation filter is selected based on the translated text, and the translated text is formatted to a format specific to a particular application or device.

Applicant respectfully submits that this feature is neither taught or suggested by the cited reference.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 14, 27, and 41 are patentable, because the cited references, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest all of the features of the claims. Claims 2, 3, 5-13, 15, 16, 18-26, 28, 29, and 31-40 are also patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies on independent claims 1, 14, and 27.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Mark E. Wallerson/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: 202.293.7060
Facsimile: 202.293.7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/142133

46159

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Mark E. Wallerson
Registration No. 59,043

Date: August 20, 2012